


JUDICIAL COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY INTO CRIMINALITY,
POLITICAL INTERFERENCE AND CORRUPTION IN THE
CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

HELD AT

BRIGITTE MABANDLA JUSTICE COLLEGE

10 APRIL 2026

DAY 88



PROCEEDINGS ON 10 APRIL 2026

CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, Mr Sikhakhane and ...[intervenes].

ADV SIKHAKHANE: Morning, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, Deputy Chief Dhlamini.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Good morning, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Good morning, Mr Mosikili.

ADV MOSIKILI: Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners.

10 **CHAIRPERSON:** Yes. I was saying I usually greet the lead.

ADV MOSIKILI: Indeed so, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, Mr Sikhakhane.

ADV SIKHAKHANE: Chair, Advocate Mosikili ...[intervenes].

CHAIRPERSON: Oh.

ADV SIKHAKHANE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON: [Vernacular]... You cannot blame me. Only on the 27th it was my, of March it was my birthday, so I
20 am a year older. Oh, no, sorry.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Chair.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Can I place it on record that it was Commissioner Baloyi's birthday yesterday. I will mention the numbers.

CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mosikili, apologies, apologies.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: [Indistinct]... Mr Sikhakhane, ja.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mosikili.

ADV MOSIKILI: Good morning, again, Chair and the Commissioners. Chair, today we have Deputy Commissioner Umashi Samson Dhlamini to testify from the TMPD. Chair, if you could swear in the witness.

CHAIRPERSON: That is literally swearing as opposed to
10 affirming.

ADV MOSIKILI: Let me confirm if he is happy to ...[intervenes].

CHAIRPERSON: Will you be swearing or affirming, Deputy Chief?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I will swear, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Do you swear that the evidence you are going to give is the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth. If so, please raise your right hand and say, "So help me God".

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** I swear, Commissioner, that the evidence I will be giving ...[intervenes].

CHAIRPERSON: Just, no, no, just say, "So help me God".

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I swear, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: Please say, "So help me God".

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: So help me God.

UMASHI SAMSON DHLAMINI (duly sworn states)

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, Chair, perhaps I was a bit ahead of myself, a bit of housekeeping issues. Mr Dhlamini will be testifying today on his statement and then after that, only then we will start asking probing questions. Mr Dhlamini does have long-standing commitments, so we cannot sit for the entire day today. We have tried to see how long he can stay, but at best, Chairperson, we cannot sit later than 12
10 o'clock, with your permission, of course. And then we will have to call him again, where he will be asked probing questions.

For the purpose of today, we will try and limit our questioning to a clarity-seeking question on his statement, and then hopefully we will get through till 12 o'clock, and then he will come back, Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. What I forgot, Deputy Chief, is to ask you to place your full names on record, please.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: My full names are Umashi
20 Samson Dhlamini.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Yes, yes, Mr Mosikili.

ADV MOSIKILI: Chair, on that aspect, I am not sure, I will be guided by Chair when, if and when it will be an opportune time to take a tea break, if at all. I will be in the Chair's hands.

CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps half past ten, and then sit from 10:45 until 12 midday.

ADV MOSIKILI: That seems to be practical, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Chair. And lastly, Chair, Commissioner has submitted a statement, which is in two parts, and Chair will see that from the layout of the statement, it is aligned with the questions that he received from the Commission, and we will try and guide him through
10 those questions as he answers as part of his statement.

Commissioner Dhlamini, can you then confirm that in front of you, you should have a file with your statement inside. If you open the very first page, it will say statement of Umashi Samson Dhlamini. Can you confirm that?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I can confirm it.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes. And then if you flip over, you will see it will say page 1, and then it will say page 2.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Do you see that?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes, I can see that.

ADV MOSIKILI: That is where the statement start. And then if you go to page 15, so if you look on the top right, there is a red marking. If you go to page 15 ...[intervenes].

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps move to 14 instead. So that will

be the end of that statement. Do you confirm that this is your statement?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I confirm.

ADV MOSIKILI: And you stand by the contents thereof?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I stand by the content of the statement.

ADV MOSIKILI: And lastly, on the very page 2, if you go again in front of the statement, there is a signature there. Can you confirm that you are the deponent?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** I confirm that it is my signature ...[intervenes].

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you so much.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is on my statement.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you then. Can you again then, Commissioner, for the record, for the purpose of this statement, put your full names on record, and then start reading through from paragraph 1 of that statement, Commissioner.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

20 "I, Umashi Samson Dhlamini, do hereby make an oath and say, I am an adult male and Deputy Chief of Police in the City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality. I am responsible for the division Support, and Administration

under the Metro Police Department.”

I think perhaps let me take the opportunity to just indicate some of the responsibilities that I am responsible for under Support and Administration. I will only touch on the pertinent points that might be relevant to the Commission that have come out. Under the Finance section has got the project management, that is contract management support, that supports other divisions in terms of ensuring that when they have contracts that they want to
10 undertake with bid specifications.

Then after the bid specifications, when the contract goes to the BEC, the Chief of Police nominates people who sits at the BEC together with Supply Chain officials and other appointed people for the BEC. When the contract is awarded, we then prepare SLA's for those contracts. I am raising this because it is pertinent, because as an end-user department, we must be able to ensure that there is an SLA in place to manage that contract.

So we assisted them in ensuring that SLA's are put
20 in place, then it is sent to the office of the Chief, who then take it to Group Legal for confirming that the SLA it complies with all the legal terms and so forth. Thereafter it is sent to the service providers who also go through the SLA and confirm before signing in the SLA.

I think I must also indicate that the City has further

take this process further, that SLA currently they are being done electronically. They are being sent by Legal department to Group Heads to ensure that, I mean they can sign them off. So that is one area that project management that we assist the divisions on.

We assist them with procurement plans. Every year there should be procurement plans that I mean we put forward I mean to ensure that I mean everything that it is done in the City, it is in line with the procurement plans.

10 There are stage gates that you plan a project to say it is at this stage gate before it can be funded. There is no way they can fund a project that you will not be able to spend on. So these are critical aspects that I am bringing in because they also touch to another part of I mean accounts payable, where invoices get paid and so forth. I will not touch the entire structure.

On accounts payable, every financial year when it start, we get the budget indication. What we do if we have contract, I will give a practical example of a SAPO SLA that
20 we have for posting of infringement notices, because AARTO has given South African Post Office that authority to ensure that our infringement notices can send through. We have an SLA with SAPO that I mean, so, because we have a budget on OpEx, we must create the requisitions when the financial year start that we send through to Supply Chain

Management to create PO's because we do not know by then as to what will be the value of the invoice in July.

So this becomes a blanket PO. So it debit against, I mean that PO that we have created with Supply Chain. With contracts that we know to say this was the value of the contract, we create PO for the entire year. So that is the duty of accounts payable when it deals with that. We create the requisitions, send them through to Supply Chain Management to ensure that they create I mean purchase
10 orders.

Our budget it is segmented on monthly quotas and so forth that we report on. So sometimes, let me say for instance we have a contract for animal care, you cannot anticipate whether you will have how many dogs that are sick and so forth. As a result, they render, veterinarians render the service, which is above I mean the amount perhaps that we have, because our budget it is created on quotas and so forth.

What we do, we increase the contract value so that
20 we are able to process I mean those invoices that we have with the view that we know that we will have to balance the equation because on a quarterly basis or on issues of budget, I mean to monitor the budget, the Group Financial Services has also developed memos that deals with each and every line item to say there is watchmen, there is this

line item and so forth where we report on deviations. Whether over expenditure or under expenditure, you need to give reasons and mitigations how are you going to mitigate those over expenditure.

So we do assist the divisions in that regard in managing I mean those kind of things. Why I indicate this, it is for instance these two things need to be on the sub-system. The SLA must be loaded on the sub-system. The issue of the contract must be on the sub-system, the start
10 date and the end date so that when the divisions bring in invoices that they have certified that work has been executed for processing purposes, we must be able to load that invoice so that Supply Chain will create a purchase order for that service. Then it comes back to the department for payment. In this instance, it comes to the Director Maas who is the Call Centre owner to ensure that I mean payment get released.

So I am just highlighting because these are issues that I mean came out of the Commission. These pertinent, I
20 mean matters that I mean have come out to say what are the responsibilities that I have. I am also I think responsible also for issue of ensuring that on a quarterly basis, there are SDBIPs, I mean service delivery budget and implementation plan report that we must develop.

That, I mean, SDBIP report covers issues like your

targets, your milestone, what you have achieved because these are things that we are measured on. We set targets and milestones every year so we must report on a quarterly basis. It also include I mean the budget report, how much have we spent, where are the shortcomings, if there are under performance or over expenditure, how is it going to be mitigated in that regard.

So, it is covered in the SDBIP I mean report. So much as I indicated on the deviation report that the Finance
10 Department has done, I am also responsible for I mean ensuring that I mean HR related matters, issues of I mean recruitment and so forth, but that I will outline is the Director Maas who deals with that, issues of grievances within the department because he was delegated to ensure that he attend to the grievances on behalf of the Chief of Police.

And yes, we monitor these things. I mean, for instance, the City has got a policy to say invoices should not stay for more than 30 days because there was a
20 tendency of invoices lying somewhere while I mean the people are punishing either service providers or either we are engaging them to say I can process this invoice and so forth.

So there is a 30-day strict period to ensure that invoices get I mean submitted and they are being monitored

through forums. There is what we call UIFW, unauthorized irregular fruitless expenditure meetings that are taking place where we report on invoices, outstanding payment and so forth if I mean, they need to be reported.

There is also circular 113 that deals with issues of audit and so forth. Also invoices form part of outstanding invoices. So in a nutshell, I just wanted to outline some of these functions because I think it came out of the Commission.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI**: No, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you so much, Commissioner Dhlamini. Just to wrap up that aspect before we go to the heart of it, I understand that currently you are on suspension.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Something that we will deal with later on. But what I need to understand, just your thought process, because what we came to understand is that you actually
20 volunteered to be put on suspension.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: We will go to the reasons contained in your notice. Can you just give us an idea or the thought process as to why you volunteered to be put on suspension, which is quite unusual. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I think when on the 16th, when Sergeant Nkosi was testifying, there were WhatsApp messages that came out of the communication between myself and him and the CFO that emerged out of the Commission. Then the next day, I sat with the Chief to say, since there are these allegations, what is the City doing in terms of them? I could not get a straight answer.

Then the 20th, I felt I cannot be sitting at work. Everyone will come and ask, what is happening? You will
10 find yourself explaining. You go to a meeting, everyone asks you. Then I felt it might be good for me to request to be placed on precautionary suspension, perhaps up until either I get an opportunity to address some of those I mean matters.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, thank you. Can we then go to page 3.

ADV BALOYI SC: Just out of curiosity, normally people ask to be placed on leave when they find themselves in that situation. How did you decide suspension instead of asking
20 for leave?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The City does not have a policy for what we call special leave. Either you take leave or either, I mean, so I felt I cannot deplete my leave days, I will rather request I mean a suspension, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Commissioner Dhlamini. If we

go to page 3, under the topic overview, you have given us a nice synopsis as to your responsibilities and duties. Can we then pick it up from paragraph 6 instead.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

ADV MOSIKILI: Dealing with the nature and extent of your relationship with Sergeant Nkosi. That was the question posed to you. So, would you read the question and then read your response thereto.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

“The nature and extent of my relationship with Sergeant Fannie Nkosi and when and how did it commenced.

I have known Sergeant Nkosi from the early 90’s while he was still attending school at Entokozweni Primary School in Soshanguve. We come from the same neighbourhood in Soshanguve.

20

In 1996, I became actively involved in managing the family business which was when I started having close interaction with Sergeant Nkosi. Sergeant Nkosi used to frequent the

business and he would volunteer to clean the vehicles and he would sometimes receive stipends for his efforts. Our relationship has grown since I regarded him as a family friend to the extent that our families chose me to lead a delegation that was sent to negotiate his lobola which culminated in marriage. As indicated in paragraph 7 above, our relationship remained that of brother to a point where when he faces life challenges, he is comfortable to engage with me on personal level, including marital advice as and when he encountered challenges.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Commissioner. Just to understand you then, you have known Sergeant Nkosi for at least 30 years from what I can gather from that.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** That is correct, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: And then I see that you say you have become, or he has become, or both families have become quite acquainted.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct.

ADV MOSIKILI: Can I ask you, would that relationship extend to his other siblings, including Mr Bheki Nkosi?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do engage with Fannie when they have family challenges, marriages, we do intervene with my wife to do counselling and so forth.

ADV MOSIKILI: And this would include Bheki as well?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, that is correct.

ADV MOSIKILI: Then let us move on to the next subtopic.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

10 “Explain the frequency or number of instances of my meetings and exchanges with Sergeant Nkosi and the purpose of such meetings or interaction. Also, indicate whether I have discussed or informed Sergeant Nkosi of any matter relating to the City of Tshwane and Tshwane Metropolitan Police Department. If I have done so, to explain what the legitimate basis, if any, was for so doing.

20 My communication with Sergeant Nkosi is frequent and flows naturally as it will be between the brothers. It is impossible to keep records of how many times we have engaged on any

particular topic. Our discussion encompasses many aspects, not limited to a particular matter. The recent discussion among others were when he came to me and requested assistance in completing a tender document for his younger brother. I refused to assist Sergeant Nkosi and instead I advised that helping him with the request will amount to a conflict of interest. I referred Sergeant Nkosi to Nico Mathobela for assistance. I did so because Mr Mathobela is well vested with administrative matters.”

CHAIRPERSON: And who exactly is Mr Mathobela?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Pardon, Commissioner?

CHAIRPERSON: Who exactly is Mr Mathobela?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Mr Mathobela, I knew Mr Mathobela when I was managing the family business. He used to assist us with I mean a document for busses and so forth, applications and so forth. He is not an employee of a City, he is someone from outside.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner, just to understand, we will get the dates as and when we look into your chats as to

when this conversation happened, but for now, can you just confirm that this assistance of completing a tender document for his younger brother, will this relate to Ngaphesheya Security Services?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Then we can move on.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

10 “If I have received any payment or benefit from Sergeant Nkosi, whether directly or indirectly, whether I have received payment from a third party through Sergeant Nkosi.

I want to put it on record that I have never received any payments nor benefit from Sergeant Nkosi, whether directly or indirectly, nor received payments from a third party through him or anyone else.

20 If I have met Sergeant Nkosi in the presence of Mr Mpho Lekukela. If so, what was the purpose of such meetings? Did the meeting relate to the business of the City of Tshwane or the Tshwane Metropolitan Police Department?

I have never met Sergeant Nkosi in the presence of Mr Mpho Lekukela for any reason whatsoever.”

ADV MOSIKILI: But you will accept that you would know Mr Lekukela?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Mr Lekukela, I know him as an, he was an employee, I think, of the City. I have never had any relations with him.

ADV MOSIKILI: That is precise, yes. Please continue,
10 Commissioner.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Here I think there were matters ...[intervenes].

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner, maybe I should say this to you. In terms of this topic, in fact, please do not read to the record. The topic there, it ought not to have been asked of you to comment on this, and for the record, I want you to skip paragraph 17 to 28, because it deals with issues that are not relevant, at least for the purpose of this Commission. So, please disregard that and then we will
20 move to paragraph 29 instead, on the next page, page 6.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

“If I have ever met or interacted with Mr Joe Sibanyoni, Mr Vusi Matlala, and Mr Mandla Mgcina, and to provide full details of the meetings, interactions,

including details of those present at each and the location and the purpose of each such meetings.

I have never met, interacted with Mr Joe Sibanyoni, Mr Vusimuzi Matlala, and Mr Manda Mgcina for whatsoever reasons.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Did you know of them though, any of those individuals?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** The one that I know, I have never met with him, it is Mr Joe Sibanyoni. He used to work with my brother in the taxi industry when they were establishing SALTA. They were together in the taxi industry. That is the only person that I know.

ADV MOSIKILI: But you have never met him?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I have never met with him or interacted with him.

ADV MOSIKILI: And the other two gentlemen, apart from perhaps what we know from the media?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** I do not know them.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

“Indicate whether I have any interest ...” [intervenes]

ADV MOSIKILI: So, just a last question.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, sorry.

ADV MOSIKILI: Because you did mention in the beginning about the business, and now you just mentioned again the taxi business. Are you still in the taxi business? Are you in the taxi business?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is the family that is in the taxi business.

ADV MOSIKILI: So ...[intervenes].

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is a family business.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Thank you. You can proceed.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

“Indicate whether I have any interest in or relationship with any of the following companies or close corporation, whether directly or indirectly, or any other shareholders and directors.

Gubis 85 Solution, I do not have any interest or relationship, whether directly or indirectly, with any of their shareholders or directors.

20

Ngaphesheya Construction and Project, I do not have any interest in the company directly or indirectly, however, I know Bheki Nkosi as a younger brother to Sergeant Nkosi.

Triotic Protection Services, I do not have any interest in the company or relationship directly or indirectly.

Two Cop Security Services, I do not have any interest in the company or relationship directly or indirectly.

Vimtsiri Security and Protection Services, I do not have any interest in the company or relationship directly or indirectly.

10

Elshadai Security Services, I do not have any interest in the company or relationship directly or indirectly.

Any other bidder ...” [intervenes]

ADV MOSIKILI: Before you continue, I just want us to get some clarity on three companies. Let us start with Ngaphesheya. Part of the question is that do you have any relationship with their shareholders or directors and perhaps extend to employees by virtue. Would you accept that for a moment that you do have at least a relationship with Ngaphesheya through Bheki Nkosi because you did consider him as at least a close family member?

20

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I think I indicated that I know him as a younger brother, but I do not have interest in the company directly or indirectly, that perhaps I benefit

financially, but I do know Bheki Nkosi.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, no, we are not asking that.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: We are saying at least there is a relationship there.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And if there was to be evidence that Sergeant Nkosi was doing some work for Ngaphesheya, would you also accept that on that score you would also
10 have a relationship with someone who, if there is evidence, who also does some work for Ngaphesheya?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. And then the next company there is Triotic Protection Services. I think it is an open secret that it is somehow, or it was somehow linked to one Mr Bonzo Modise.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: I think his middle name is Eugene.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

20 **ADV MOSIKILI:** And I will not take it further than that. The only question I want to ask you is that do you have any relationship or interaction with Mr Modise?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is only when we go to MAYCO meetings that I will meet him, but I do not have any relationship or interactions with him, Mr Modise.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, thank you. And then the last company there would be, that I want us to discuss would be the very last one, Elshadai Security Services. You have testified that you do not have any relationships.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Would that extend to the employees of Elshadai?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And former employees?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay. Then can we move to the next topic ...[intervenes].

ADV KHUMALO SC: Before we move, can you just expand on 31.1 Commissioner? Good morning. Because all you say there is you have no interest in the company, directly or indirectly. However, I know Bheki Nkosi as a younger brother of Sergeant Nkosi. So what does that have to do with Ngaphesheya? Did you know that Mr Bheki Nkosi is the owner or has interest in Ngaphesheya?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes, Commissioner. Sergeant Nkosi approached me to assist him as I indicated earlier on.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Assist with what? With tender document.

ADV KHUMALO SC: For Ngaphesheya?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV KHUMALO SC: And he told you that it is his brother's company?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Okay.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Commissioner Khumalo. Commissioner Dhlamini, we can then move on. Pick it up from the very last topic on page 8.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

10 “Any other bidder in respect of tender
TMPD02-2016/17, TMPD01-24/25, and
TMPD03-24/25?

I do not have any interest or
relationship whether directly or
indirectly with any other bidder in
respect of tender TMPD02-2016/17,
TMPD01-24/25, and TMPD03-24/25.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, please move on.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

20 “In relation to the above, to provide full
details of the following, whether I have
had any interaction with the
shareholders, members, or directors of
Gubis 85 Solution Ptd, and any other
bidder. If so, provide details, including
the names of such person, the dates,

place, and purpose of such meetings or interactions.

The interactions I had with director of Gubis 85 was when Mr Cain Mpofo of Supply Chain Management invited me to a meeting at his office. However, I cannot recall the date of the meeting, but it was around March 2025. The meeting was attended between Mr Mahlangu and Mr Kgwadi of Gubis 85 Solution and Mr Mpofo and myself. The meeting was called because Mr Mahlangu raised concern with outstanding payments relating to his company.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Commissioner. Just to clarify, this meeting you were invited by Mr Cain Mpofo?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct.

ADV MOSIKILI: And then your answer to the question is that you have never set up or had any contact with anyone from Gubis to set up a meeting?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct, Commissioner.

ADV BALOYI SC: Deputy Commissioner, we have come to know that there is a difference between services that were,

the regular services that were provided and *ad hoc* services all arising from the same tender contract.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: This meeting and issues about payment ...[intervenes].

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: What did it relate to, the delayed payments, was it *ad hoc* services or the regular contract services?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** It was on *ad hoc* services, Commissioner, that we attended on March. I think it will be explained later on. They were owed for a period of 6 months starting from July 2024 up to December 2024 when that complaint came. And I think there was an outstanding invoice that was of a previous financial year for May. So this meeting was all about it to say how are they going to get paid, that invoice that is outstanding for the month of May which was never paid to them.

ADV BALOYI SC: Thank you. Thank you, Mr Mosikili.

20 **ADV KHUMALO SC:** Commissioner, documents relating to that specific meeting, do you have them?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not have documents relating to that specific meeting, Commissioner.

ADV KHUMALO SC: I will tell you how it will assist. The witness we had yesterday also spoke about outstanding

invoices from 2024, but we are still not clear who had requested those services from Gubis. So perhaps if we could get those documents relating to 2024 *ad hoc* services and invoices, it will assist us.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

ADV KHUMALO SC: So if you can please search for them. If they are not there, they are not there. But if you are able to find them, can you please make them available to the Commission?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** They will be there, Commissioner, because we load them on a sub-system, the request that we get them. I only have a spreadsheet of the payment of companies that were owed starting from July 2024 to December.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Ja, only this one. And what we are looking for is the letter requesting Gubis to provide the *ad hoc* services in 2024.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The letter I would not have, because it is not within my environment to deploy them.

20 **ADV KHUMALO SC:** Yes.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: What we do, we process invoice as received from a division that has verified that services were rendered, accordingly signed off by that division.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Ja, so all those documents, if you

have them or you are able to find them ...[intervenes].

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, the ...[intervenes].

ADV KHUMALO SC: But if they are not there, it is fine.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The invoices are there.

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner, then just to wrap up this point. So the upshot of it is that you were invited to this meeting to deal with issues relating to late payments or non-payments of Gubis' invoices.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Just tell us what was the outcome of that meeting, just briefly.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The outcome of that meeting, I indicated to them to say the May invoice, there is no way in which it can be processed from my section. It is of a previous financial year. The division concerned need to put in a report for *post facto* in order for that invoice to be paid, which must be approved by the City Manager, I mean, which goes with consequence management because I mean, it is of a previous financial year. It will create audit issues, I
20 mean, for the City.

So that is I indicated to them and I also indicated to them to say initially when they started issues of, I mean, raising concerns around payments and so forth, I advised Mr Mpofo to say these were taken with the CFO and it might not be proper for us at that level as junior officials to the

CFO to engage on these matters. So I then advised that they must then take these matters up with the CFO where they have started.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Let us move on to the next topic.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

10 “Giving details whether I have assisted directly or indirectly any bidder in respect of tenders TMPD 2-2016/17, TMPD 1-24/25 and TMPD 3-24/25.

I have not assisted directly or indirectly any bidder in respect of tender TMPD 2-2016/17, TMPD 1-24/25 and TMPD 3-24/25.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Ja, move on.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: -:

20 “Whether I have influenced, facilitated the payment of any service provider, in particular Gubis 85 Solution Ltd.

I have not influenced the payment of any company, including Gubis 85. I attach herein the source of the complaint, which it is reflected in the trail of emails from February 25 marked as Annexure F. My involvement in the

case of Gubis 85 Solution and others came later following the request by the CFO concerning the outstanding invoices, which were due for a period of six months. See Annexure G, the spreadsheet of those invoices. Furthermore, I should indicate that prior to the receipt of the request by the CFO, I dealt with another request, which came on the 28th February 25 from various service providers. See attached WhatsApp communication from the management group marked as Annexure H.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner, before we go to the next page, you have made reference to three documents, Annexure F, G and H. Can we start with Annexure F. You will see that it says, it is on page 145. It will say Annexure F, email of outstanding invoices. Is this the annexure you are referring the Commission to? It starts on page 146.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Is there anything on that annexure that maybe without reading the entire document that you would like to highlight to the Commission?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I want to indicate that

this is the complaint that I think came through. [Indistinct]... annexures might have been put, otherwise I am not sure if it is the correct annexure, because the annexure that dealt with this matter emanate as far as February the 7th. I am not sure on my file, where the company was complaining and in any of their complaints, I think I was not included, you know, cc'd in any of their complaints that they have been raising and so forth. So I think the annexures might ...[incomplete].

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Perhaps let us do this. I have gone through your statement. I know you make reference to the email of the 7th of February, but I have not seen it.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: The email there that is referred to on Annexure F is an email that was sent in March. So what we can do, Commissioner, perhaps what we can do is that that annexure is not here. Perhaps when you come back, let us put a note so that you can bring that email, because the reference there is a different conversation on a different
20 date. Do you accept that at least?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I accept that ...[intervenes].

ADV MOSIKILI: Then you can fix it.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: [Indistinct]... [cross-talking] in my file. It might have been ...[intervenes].

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, I also looked for it. I could not

locate it.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And then there is Annexure G that appears on page 149, and it will say outstanding invoices, list of companies. I do not invite you to read those companies, but is there anything specific that you want to draw the Commission's attention to on that list? It is a very long list.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, the specific thing is that
10 these are invoices that were outstanding from July 2024, I mean to January 2025, of companies, and I want to draw specific attention to the Commission because this matter came on the Commission, that invoices for the entire 6 months were amounting to a total of these companies to 14 million for the entire 6 months of *ad hoc* services that the complaint came.

And I think this is what I want to draw the attention of the Commission to, so that when we come to the email where I was writing, sensitizing them to say, but these
20 invoices for February and March have now grown to an extent that now the company must be paid 14 million, deal with this matter or engage the ...[indistinct] department. It was taken that I was influencing someone to go and seek money and all those kinds of things. But I was just trying to indicate, to say, I mean, my division gave me the

spreadsheet to say invoices for July to December, this is the spreadsheet as and when they were processed.

Then January, February, March comes invoices for January and February with the total changed figure of one invoice I mean amounting to 14 million, it is within my responsibilities to alert them to say this has grown so much, investigate this, deal with this matter.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps just for clarity, can you just reconcile this for me. On page 150, right on top it says
10 “Recon for Watchman Services, Water and Sanitation”, and then it gives the dates, and then after that it says “Asset Protection Unit, TMPD”, and then it says “January Security Service *Ad Hoc*”. So the document on 150 mentions both Watchman Services and *ad hoc*. Can you just reconcile that for us?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, these were *ad hoc* services that were deployed by the division Assets and Protection for three companies, Triotic Protection Services, Mogalagadi Security Services, which for two companies it
20 amounted to 2,8 ...[intervenes].

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, so what I just need clarity on, Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: The clarity I need is on top when it mentions Watchman Services, so we should take, this is

strictly for *ad hoc*?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Is that what you convey to us?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, it is strictly for *ad hoc*.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, then I got that.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: All right. Can we then go to the last annexure that you make reference to at page 42. That one appears on page 152.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Now this is Annexure H, it says “Management Group WhatsApp Communication, Non-Payment of Service Providers”, and it goes on, the actual contents, on page 153. Is there anything that you need to highlight on those WhatsApp chats? And while doing that, can you just explain what is the EMM Information Group?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The EMM is an Executive Management Group that we have for the Chief of Police and his Deputy Chief. So I am saying, I was just demonstrating
20 to say I do receive such complaint. That was not a peculiar complaint that I received to deal with those outstanding invoices for July to December. There was a complaint prior to me receiving that request from the CFO that came, I mean, to the Chief and asked to say these companies were not paid, can they be attended to.

So it is not, I mean, because as I indicated earlier on, on the structure, to say they do not come to me, they go, when invoices come, they go to accounts payable. That is where we have admins, senior admins and so forth. They have a functional head, a Deputy Director who deals with them.

I would not be aware if there were outstanding invoices until of recent where the City have established the UIFW workstream meetings and the circular 113 where
10 these things get reported where I attend to.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Commissioner. Can you then go back to your statement. I want you to pick it up from, when you look at the top red number there, top right with the red number, it will be page 9.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Before you do that, in that page 8, at paragraph 41 and 42, where you refer to requests from the Chief Financial Officer.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

20 **ADV BALOYI SC:** Those requests were directed to you?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The Chief Financial Officer called me while he was with Sergeant Nkosi on the 4th of March, requesting me to say there are outstanding invoices that Mr Mpofu cannot get it right in ensuring that they are being paid and the companies are threatening to go to

court.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Can I intervene and assist in that regard.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, so it was a telephone call between you and the Chief Financial Officer?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr Mosikili.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Thank you, Commissioner Baloyi. Can you then, so we will deal with those chats later on. Can you then go to the next page, then that will be page 9.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

20 “I just want to indicate that it is a common practice that such matters will be brought to my office for intervention and not, I mean, to influence payment or whatsoever in that regard. I wish to refute the impression which it is created that I am involved in the business of influencing or facilitating payment. The statement is not true and I say this because I am the Divisional Head, Support and Administration.”

I think I have explained around accounts payable, what are their functions and so forth, and it enjoins us to comply with strict time limit and to pay invoices within 30 days of the invoice date.

10 “My communication on financial matters is mistakenly inferred to as having an influence when in actual fact it is sacrosanct to my daily work as the Deputy Chief, Support and Administration. It cannot be said that I am influencing anyone when my position and my work is centred around managing the financial business side of the department. I attach hereon the organogram and demonstrate that I am the relevant and competent person who holds the office that address the finances as alluded in Annexure D, organogram.”

20 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Deputy Commissioner, you make reference to that Annexure I. Do you want to say anything about that TMPD organogram or can we pass?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: We can pass.

ADV MOSIKILI: It appears on 155.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: We can pass, Commissioner.

I think I have indicated the most pertinent matters around finance, accounts payable, the contract management and so forth, so that when we come to those things I can explain them.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, maybe then before you move on, Commissioner, the very first sentence on paragraph 43, would it not be correct though to say that you are involved in the business of facilitating payments in one way or the other as part of your duties?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** I am involved, Commissioner, but I am not influencing this person. I only come as an intervention when there are complaints that are raised through to my office.

ADV MOSIKILI: So on that, at least on that sentence, can we then divorce influencing and keep facilitating? Are you happy with that?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you.

ADV BALOYI SC: Can I just, just to clarify my confusion.
20 So the, you say you get involved because the CFO calls you ...[intervenes].

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: About this and that is how you become involved.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Can you then just explain the annexures, how then they come into the picture? The annexures in 146, I think that is Annexure F, and then you have given us G, you have also given us H, how that relates, if at all, to the CFO calling you to assist with payments, because the way that I had read it was that your Annexures F, G, H are what comes out of the CFO's request to you to assist him, and that is evidence of it, those annexures. So if you can just explain them, because it
10 does not seem like they are in any way related.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay, Commissioner. On annexure, Commissioner, on Annexure G, it was the spreadsheet of all the outstanding invoices for, starting July 24 up until December. I was just attaching them to say this is the request that emanated from, the request from the monies that were owed from the CFO for those companies for a period of 6 months.

ADV BALOYI SC: Yes.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: And on the Annexure H, I was
20 just demonstrating to say these are the requests that they do come. It is not something, I mean, out of the equation that I have done when I was helping with the payment when the CFO, I mean, said the companies were not paid. I was just demonstrating with the communication from the management WhatsApp group on Annexure G.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, so Annexure G has nothing to do with the CFO's request that you are discussing?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: No, it has got nothing to do with the CFO. I was just emphasizing to say as the Divisional Head, I do get these requests to intervene if payments were not done or companies are complaining.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, and what about Annexure F? What is Annexure F?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The Annexure F, as the
10 evidence leader indicated, it was a trail of email that I wanted to demonstrate that this complaint came from February. I was never included on the emails. I mean, there were people who were included in those emails, I think, who are within the department and never acted on that. I just wanted to demonstrate to say I only became aware when I was called by the CFO to say there are outstanding invoices within the department.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, so, but they have nothing to do with the call from the CFO?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** No.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps just to seek further clarity, remember you testified earlier on that when you met with Kgwadi, Mahlangu and Mpofo, and they raised the issues of non-payments.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: You then ultimately referred them to the CFO's office to deal with this.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And then is it your evidence that later on then the CFO reverted to you with the same problem to say you must deal with it? I am just trying to understand the chain of events. So, remember that this meeting with the three was in March.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: So, the requests from the CFO, when would those have been?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It came before the request of the meeting. After we have resolved their payment for 6 months, they then later on requested a meeting to deal with the outstanding invoices for May that I indicated to say it will amount to a *post facto* deal.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay. I think we have to then move to paragraph 45 on page 9.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** -:

“Whether I have influenced or directed the allocation of work by the City of Tshwane or the Tshwane Metro Police Department to any of the service providers, including that to Gubis 85

Solution.

My subordinate section in the Support and Administration division include administration, bureau, policing, logistics support, internal affairs, management, administration support, and ceremonial and employee wellness, as I attached there. The security falls under Deputy Chief, Assets, Protection and Security Services. The deployment of security guards to various sites is the responsibility of the Deputy Chief concerned. I could have not influenced or directed the allocation of work to any service provider, otherwise the statement suggests that I had swayed the Deputy Chief, APS or his subordinate to bend the rules and I deny, the narrative is incorrect.”

CHAIRPERSON: Deputy Chief, it seems to me that you are answering this question on the assumption that everything would have been happening the way it should. I think the subtext of what is being addressed here or asked here is, or rather concerns impropriety. So can you answer the

question in the context of that, because somebody acting improperly would exactly have wanted to do things in a manner that would not come to the attention of, for example in this instance, the Deputy Chief, APSS.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Thanks, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: You are talking as if everything was going the way it should. Maybe it was but the subtext is that it was not. So can you answer the question in that context?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Thanks Commissioner. It does happen as I am saying. There is no way I will give an instruction to someone who is not my subordinate to do anything. For instance, yes there were things that I am protecting Phiri, I will deal with that. I have never worked with Phiri. I do not know him, which intake does he belong to. I cannot, even if I could have met him I could not point to say this is Phiri or whatsoever the case it might be.

Things, Commissioners, are happening as per structure in terms of, I mean deployment and so forth. Yes
20 there are anomalies that happened but I have never influenced I mean the deployment of any other, I mean security company or any other person within the department.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Let us take the adjournment and resume at 5 to 11.

INQUIRY ADJOURNS

INQUIRY RESUMES

CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mosikili.

EXAMINATION BY ADV MOSIKILI (CONTINUES): Thank you, Chair. Then Deputy Commissioner Dlamini can we then pick it up from paragraph 49, on page 10.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “Explain my involvement in the payment of Gubis85 solution, in particular in respect of ad hoc security services in respect of tender TMPD2, 2016-17. I was requested by the CFO to assist in resolving outstanding payment of Gubis85 and the others. I then enquired within my section and discovered that indeed there were outstanding invoices for a period of six months. The outstanding payment were not only Gubis85, it included other service
20 providers which were also not paid. Annexure G, Annexure F, emails of ad hoc that I have attached.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, we have already visited those annexures, so perhaps let us proceed to paragraph 50.

ADV BALOYI SC: Deputy Commissioner, we have heard

the name, I think he is a director, Nwandule.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Where does he fit in when you are assisting with this, in terms of structure, is he in your office, is he in your unit, just explain where he is.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Director Nwandule is my subordinate, he reports to me, he is responsible for finance.

ADV MOSIKILI: And perhaps to round off the point, when you said paragraph 49, I was requested by the CFO, this
10 would be the WhatsApp, or at least the telephone conversations where Mr Nkosi was also referred to.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: Then can we pick it up from paragraph
50?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “The reason or purpose of your discussion of procurement matters with Sergeant Nkosi. Sergeant Nkosi requested assistance with list of bidders. We did not have any discussion on procurement matters. I have shared the two documents with him, which are administrative records. These are closing out the reports after the tender has closed, relating to bids and their

pricing, which are available. They get published for every bidder to see them. I have attached such as an Annexure J.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Just to understand, Deputy Commissioner Dlamini, you earlier testified, I think at paragraph 18 of your statement, that Sergeant Nkosi approached you with what we at least agreed to a tender process where Ngaphesheya wanted to tender, and you referred them to someone that you say is well vested in those tenders.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Now, at paragraph 50, there is a separate request. Can you explain exactly what this request entailed? Because you simply say that he requested assistance with list of the bidders. What is the net effect of that, if you are assisting him with the list?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: He indicated that he wanted to verify in both instances that whether his brother has tendered the bid or what.

20 **ADV MOSIKILI**: So, for now, we can say at least he is advancing the interest of Ngaphesheya?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Is that correct?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: And lastly, did you duly comply with the request?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I did forward him those lists.

ADV BALOYI SC: Just understand, you say these documents were available, public documents, and you refer us to Annexure J. When you say they were available public documents, on which platform, which public platform are they available at the time when you provide to Sergeant
10 Nkosi?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: They usually publish them, Commissioner, on eTender for Tshwane. So, they close out the report, they put them there, because when the tender closes, any bidder can request the pricing of those bidders and so forth. But due to administration, sometimes delay of a lot of tenders gets done later on.

ADV BALOYI SC: And that closing that you are referring to, that is before, you say it is a close-up report or something, closing report?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** It is close-up report, yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: This is before the BEC and BAC processes have happened?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is before the BEC, and the BAC processes take place.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, so, if anyone went onto the

website, they would find, after the closing date, they would find this document that you have attached as Annexure J?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Is that what you are saying?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, correct, Commissioner.

ADV BALOYI SC: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Just to understand, Commissioner Dlamini, remember the first approach when they came to you, you said, and I think you used the word that you
10 refused to assist them.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Now, with the benefit of hindsight, when this request came from Sergeant Nkosi, would it not have been prudent for someone who has outright refused to get involved to say to him, either one, speak to Bheki, who is a director or owner, to use the word loosely, of Ngaphesheya, or to simply direct him to where he can obtain this information?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: He indicated that he could
20 access it himself and requested me, if I can, forward those to him. So, it was not in assisting with the tender or anything, because I knew these are public documents that are there.

ADV MOSIKILI: All right, can we then move to paragraph 51.1?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“Whether I have discussed payment and allocation of work to Gubis85 solution with Sergeant Nkosi or any other person who are not employees of City of Tshwane and the Tshwane Metro Police Department. I have never discussed any payment with Sergeant Nkosi. I only responded to the CFO enquiries which were made through Sergeant Nkosi. The allocation of work is the responsibility of APSS as addressed before.”

So, I was just responding to the CFO because he made enquiries through Sergeant Nkosi after he spoke to me.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Commissioner, the document, which is Annexure J, not for now. When you come for the second time, bring the one that you find on the website, because I am going to take issue with your assertion that this is a public document.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Okay.

ADV KHUMALO SC: So, come prepared next time.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I will.

ADV KHUMALO SC: And get the one that you would access from the system, and it is publicly available. But I am going to take issue with your assertion that this was a

publicly available document.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner Dlamini, can you just explain what you mean when you respond to this question, when you say, I only responded. So, the first sentence says that I have never discussed any payments with Sergeant Nkosi. I only responded to the CFO's enquiries which were made through Sergeant Nkosi. That does not seem to align. What do you mean by that?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** As I indicated earlier on to say, the CFO spoke to me on the 4th while he was with Sergeant Nkosi. And on the 5th of May, he made a follow-up to say, Nkosi must check with me how far am I with addressing the outstanding payment. And that is when I responded through the message to Sergeant Nkosi for the knowledge of the CFO. Because I did not have any contact of the CFO.

ADV MOSIKILI: What is the plausible reason why you could not at least do it directly with the CFO? This
20 communication?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I never had the CFO's contact details. I took it out of that he is enquiring through Nkosi. I know Nkosi. I trusted him. Then I responded to Nkosi to give the message to the CFO.

ADV MOSIKILI: And you could have still asked for the

CFO's numbers so that you can find the matters affecting the City of Tshwane within the employees that are within the City of Tshwane. Would that be a fair statement to make to you?

COMMISSIONER FARO: It is a fair statement. It never came to me by then.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, thank you. Let us move to the next page, which is page 7.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “Whether you have any relationship directly or indirectly with Mr Calvin Mahlangu or have met him. I do not have any relationship directly or indirectly with Mr Calvin Mahlangu, and I only met him once in a meeting with Mr Mphefu.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, please proceed.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “Whether I shared any information relating to tenders TMPD2 2016-17, TMPD1 2024-25, and TMPD3 2024-25 with Sergeant Nkosi and any other person, including the bidders, outside of the City of Tshwane. I have not shared any information with any other person outside the City of Tshwane, except

instances illustrated in paragraph 50 of those requests from Sergeant Nkosi.”

ADV MOSIKILI: So, the simple answer would be that you have shared the information. Albeit you say that it was, for now, say it was public information, but you have shared it.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you.

ADV BALOYI SC: It is not just, you say you have shared that public information.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes, Commissioner.

ADV BALOYI SC: But you have also, you also say, I understand you to be saying, that you have shared information with Sergeant Nkosi, but it was intended for the CFO. Because that must be so. You have City of Tshwane information that you say is intended for the CFO, but it goes to Sergeant Nkosi. So, there was sharing of information with an outsider. For the reason that you give. You give a reason why it went to Nkosi. But Nkosi is not in Tshwane, so.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes, the CFO enquired through Nkosi. I just passed the message through Nkosi to the CFO.

ADV BALOYI SC: I think what I am trying to get to, without creating or expecting it to be a debate, is that you were providing information to Nkosi. You have an explanation

you give an explanation. You say, in fact, that information was for the CFO.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: But it was given to Nkosi, who is external to Tshwane. I just wanted to be clear about that. Because that will be a subject of debate with you when you come back. I just wanted to – let me rephrase it this way. My discussion with you will be that you did share information with an outsider. Your answer is, well, it was
10 meant for the CFO. That is what I will be debating with you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Noted, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps with that in mind, when you come back, something to ponder about, Commissioner Dlamini. And the essence of it is this, is that with information, because it is a consumer, once you receive it, whether it is intended for you or not, you have consumed that information, whether you pass it or not. It is not an item, as me passing you a cell phone, to pass on to a third party. And then we leave it like that, and we take it on face value
20 that it was just sharing of what is passing off there, of an item, and information was consumed. Even if it was not intended, at the end of the day, to lend with that person who was conveying the message. So, think about what I am saying to you. Then when you come back, as Commissioner Baloyi said, it is something that we can debate on.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. While we are there, can we then move on to the next paragraph?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “The reason for me to discuss issues matters relating to procurement of the City of Tshwane and the TMPD, particularly in relation to TMPD2, 2016-17, TMPD1, 24-25, and TMPD3, 24-25 with Sergeant Nkosi, also provide the reason for providing Sergeant Nkosi with the names of companies or bidders for the City of Tshwane and the Tshwane Metro Police Department.”

I think it is the same as the one that we dealt with.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, I think you are quite right. I think we just said, look, these answers are untenable for now. Go and think about them again.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

20 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Let us move on then to the next paragraph.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“Giving details whether I assisted directly or indirectly any bidder in respect of tenders, TMPD2, 2016-17, TMPD1, 24-

25, and TMPD3, 24-25 during the procurement stage or process. I have not assisted directly or indirectly any bidder during the procurement stage processes.”

ADV MOSIKILI: I think then that deals with the next paragraph as well. Then let us jump to paragraph 60.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “Explain your relationship with Mr Gareth Mnisi beyond that of fellow employees of the City of Tshwane. The explanation must include any personal relationship, common interest, whether of a business or other nature. The nature and extent of such relationship or common interest and when such relationship or common interest commenced. Mr Mnisi is my colleague who holds the position of the CFO in the City of Tshwane. I do not
20 have any personal relationship or business relationship. The relationship I have with the CFO is a professional relationship and does not extend beyond that point.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, thank you, proceed.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“Lastly, explain in detail the nature and extent and purpose of your three-way interaction with both Mr Gareth Mnisi and Sergeant Nkosi and why such interaction would have intruded the business of the City of Tshwane.”

I think this is the question that we will deal with when we come as Commissioner Khumalo indicated.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** That is fair enough.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps on the last question, will you accept at the very bare minimum that at least a common interest you have with Mr Mnisi that you both have Sergeant Nkosi as a common person that you both refer to as brother, at least as having a close relationship with him. Can you at least concede on that score?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: The question was the relationship I have with the CFO is just a professional
20 relationship.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: For me to have a brotherly relationship with Sergeant Nkosi, I cannot extend it to the CFO as such.

ADV MOSIKILI: That is fair enough. That was not my

question I was saying and without probing you, to say that if you accept for a moment that Sergeant Nkosi testified that him and the CFO consider themselves as brothers, they have a close relationship, you have also similarly testified that you also consider Sergeant Nkosi as a brother or someone very close to you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: So, what I was putting to you is that you have a person of common interest between you and Mr
10 Mnisi. Is that a fair comment?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not think so.

ADV MOSIKILI: You do not except for a moment
...[intervenes]

CHAIRPERSON: Please repeat the question. I am not sure I follow it, Mr Mosikili.

ADV MOSIKILI: Chairperson, the proposition I am putting to Commissioner Dlamini is that and the simple proposition is that you seem to both shares at least Mr Nkosi as a person of common interest, as a friend. Both of you, do you
20 follow that?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not think so because we are at different level of the relationships. I knew Mr Nkosi as a young boy, I mean, those two. So, it is at a different level.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, that is fine. We will explore it later

on. Then can we deal with other matters right in the middle of the page?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes:

“The other matter was the one that raised the request for a forensic investigation regarding a Metro Police Inspector Phiri, who issued written instructions to deploy ad hoc guards beyond his mandate.”

I think when DC Spies indicated in the Commission that I
10 blocked a report for a full year that seeks to investigate Sergeant Phiri, which was sent to me in 2025, and the report only emerged now, March 2026, after he made enquiries about the whereabouts of that report. And I think I wanted to bring to the Commission that I think, I am not sure whether that was misinformation given to the Commission, no, it was a deliberate effort perhaps to tarnish me in the Commission that I have blocked this report. I have attached an annexure.

ADV MOSIKILI: It is on page 195.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes, I have attached this as an annexure to show that the report that it is alleged that I sat with it for the entire year is not true and correct. Because Director Minnaar, it was indicated that he reports to me under internal affairs and he came to me to indicate that, I mean, he was given a mandate by the Deputy Chief

Spies to investigate Inspector Phiri around the deployment of ad hoc services.

As a courtesy, because he reports to me, Deputy Chief Spies never came to me. He went to Director Minnaar. Director Minnaar, on 29 July 2025, he sent the report. He told me that this is the situation. I said, because you got an instruction, execute it, nonetheless. And on 29 July, he sent the report to say, I am done with the report, this is the report I am forwarding to DC Spies.

10 If you look at the report, it is in 1951. It is clearly addressed, I mean, to Deputy Chief of Police, APPU, to take note of the report. The underneath signature there is that of Director Minnaar. Which was not meant, he just said, take note, I am done with this report, I am sending it to DC Spies just for cognisance purposes. Because in any environment, there will be work politics that are taking place which I do not think is for the purpose of this Commission.

20 So, then, DC Spies comes and just indicates on the emails, he does not show the content of the email to say, to whom was the letter addressed to, and to whom is it coming to. He indicates that I have blocked the report that was supposed to have gone a year before to deal with Sergeant Phiri. So, I wanted to deal with that assertion that it was incorrect, that is misinformation.

I am not sure why did DC Spies indicate such information which is not truthful to the Commission that I blocked the report. Because that was not meant for me. That report was sent to him by Director Minnaar. Because I have told all my subordinates to say, if they are being given job work, either by the chief or by any other person, let them execute it.

So that we do not avoid putting them at the corner to say, who gave you this work, why are you doing it without
10 me sanctioning such a work, and so forth. Because employees get caught up in the battle of the seniors, which becomes unfair for them. So, I tell them, if the chief calls you to his office, you go there, if he gives you a task, you execute.

Just for courtesy, if you alert me, it is fine. Even if you do not, it is okay. If it is not meant for my eyes, it is even fine with that one. So much as I am saying I wanted to address that it was incorrect, that it was misinformation, that I blocked them in a report seeking to deal with
20 Sergeant Phiri.

ADV KHUMALO SC: So Internal Affairs falls under you?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, Commissioner.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Minnaar reports to you?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV KHUMALO SC: And before this report could go to

Spies, it had to come to you?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: He brought it for me just to take note that it is done with the report.

ADV KHUMALO SC: But do you sign it off to say it can be sent to Spies? How does it work?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not have to sign it. His signature ...[intervenes]

ADV KHUMALO SC: Not sign it, sign off.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I told him he can forward it,
10 the report is fine.

ADV KHUMALO SC: So, you are satisfied with the content of the report and you said he can give it to Spies?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, he is a good person. Unfortunately, I cannot speak bad about Danny Minnaar. He does do a lot of things that are not meant to be coming to me, but he informs me just for courtesy purposes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Would you then, Commissioner Dlamini, check if you have that email where you did say you can send it off, or at least you have signed it off?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: We spoke. He sent it, he
20 came to my office, we spoke about it.

ADV MOSIKILI: Was that verbal?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And according to you then from that moment on, you were not expected to do anything further?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I was not expected to do anything further. Remember, he went directly to Director Minnaar to instruct him to do that. He never came to me.

ADV MOSIKILI: All right, can you move then to paragraph 2 on page 13?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes:

10 “The second assertion which was made by DC Spies is that I have influenced the extension of the security tender through the CFO. It is incorrect. I was requested by the Chief of Police to intervene after the extension report was presented by Director Nwandule, which was not approved by the BAC.”

20 I have attached annexures of that I mean. Annexure N of the extension report and the request that was made by the Chief of Police and WhatsApp group management, where the city manager was saying the security tender is coming to an end and he has not seen any extension report in his office. That is when I intervened because a report which was not, I mean, according to BAC standard was presented at the BAC without indicating, because MFMA requires that when you do an extension, you need to take the total value of the contract and indicate the threshold that is required for the extension of that contract, which was not part of the

report.

There were no SLAs that were attached to that thing. So, I only facilitated and assisted as requested by the Chief of Police to assist Director Nwandule and DC Bolhuis with that report because by then DC Bolhuis was the one who was heading assets protection unit. So, I assisted them in the report to ensure that the extension report gets presented.

So, he comes and says, I extended the report to the
10 CFO, the CFO approved, because I have an interest of ensuring that Gubis continue to benefit, which is a total lie. Because, I mean, after this four-month extension, he also went, acquired other extensions, two or so, of the extension of the same tender. But he comes and says, I needed to benefit certain companies, which is not factual and it is not true.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. We then move on to the second part of your statement. Can you then go to page 17?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: One seven.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And again, do you still confirm that the statement thereto, which is 12 pages long, is your statement?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Correct, Commissioner.

ADV MOSIKILI: Do you still stand by the contents thereof?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, correct.

ADV MOSIKILI: And lastly, do you confirm the signature on page 17 to be your signature?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I confirm.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Then, because we have dealt with issues relating to your responsibilities, I think let us pick it up on page 18 at paragraph 6.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes:

“It is alleged that you have a personal relationship with Sergeant Nkosi. As a result of the relationship, it is further alleged that you assisted bidders in winning tenders, in that you had conversations with Sergeant Nkosi about Ngaphesheya Security, wherein Sergeant Nkosi admitted he approached you for assistance in a bid for his brother, the owner of Ngaphesheya Services and with the city, and you referred him to an expert of tenders. I consider Sergeant Nkosi as a younger brother. I have dealt with the question of my relationship in the first statement, in paragraphs 6, 7, 8,

20

9, and 10 of the notice addressed to me, dated 27 March 2026, in terms of the regulation as indicated here. It is not true that I have assisted any bidder in winning tenders. I actually refused to assist and preferred instead to refer Sergeant Nkosi to Mr Mathobela, who I thought could be of assistance. The reason why I referred Sergeant Nkosi instead of personally assisting him with his request would have amounted to a conflict of interest. I referred Sergeant Nkosi to Mr Mathobela to help him with his request. I did so because Mr Mathobela is well vested with administrative matters. I have never said he is a tender expert as testified before the Commission.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, proceed.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:**

“You further leaked confidential and sensitive information regarding the tenders and companies that have sent bids to Sergeant Nkosi, in that you sent him a WhatsApp message confirming the

two vehicles for land, meaning the tender for land, Ngaphesheya and Elshaddai. In addition, you further provided him with a list of seven other companies who are supposed to get the tender as per alleged communication with people purporting to represent the EFF and you requested that Sergeant Nkosi to check with via Mr Mnisi and Mr Julius Malema if those seven companies are the correct companies that EFF want to get tenders. There were no confidential or sensitive information that I have leaked from the city. The information that I sent to Sergeant Nkosi, which included the two names of the companies, came from Mr Mathobela and not from the city. I was literally confirming that the two companies would be preferred. It was a choice of words from Mr Mathobela to refer to these companies as vehicles, which I adopted in relaying the message to Sergeant Nkosi.”

CHAIRPERSON: Maybe I misheard. I heard you say, I was literally confirming, instead of, I was not literally confirming.

But as I say, I may have misheard you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I was not literally confirming.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Thank you, Commissioner:

“I had a visit to my office by Mr Muzi and Siphon, the two I know from student movement in the late 80s, who came to have met with the CFO and gave him seven names of the security companies.

10 I then requested Sergeant Nkosi to verify with the CFO the story and only to discover that it was not true.”

CHAIRPERSON: Not probing at this stage, but can you explain why you did this via Sergeant Nkosi and not enquire directly from the CFO?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I did not - I know Sergeant Nkosi to be having a relationship with Mr Mnisi. I did not have the contact details for Mr Mnisi.

20 **CHAIRPERSON:** You work in the same, you work for the same institution, the City of Tshwane, Deputy Chief.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Through Commissioner, I do not have most of the group head's contact details.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes. All right, thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Before you move on, you make reference to the two gentlemen, Muzi and Siphon. Can you provide us

with their full names?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I know Muzi as Muzi Mazibuko, but Siphos I do not know his surname. He was close to Muzi during student movement. They came, the two of them.

ADV MOSIKILI: But you knew this guy from the 80s?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Both of them?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Both of them.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** And almost 40 years later you say you do not know Siphos surname?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not know his surname. I know him as Siphos, yes. Muzi, we were at school together. Same school together.

ADV BALOYI SC: Paragraph 13, I am not sure that I understand it. You say the two companies should be - I cannot - I was not literally confirming the two companies should be preferred. It was a choice of words from Mr Mathobela to refer these companies as vehicles. Can you
20 just explain that? That sentence does not make sense to me because the first time we encounter Mr Mathobela, and I am not probing, I just want to make sure that I understand what you are conveying here. The first time we encounter the name of Mr Mathobela in your statements ...[intervenes]

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: It is that he is an administrative, someone knowledgeable in administrative matters.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: And that is why you referred Mr Nkosi to him, so that he could assist with the tender documents of Ngaphesheya. Now you mention him. Can you just explain the context in which you are now mentioning him in paragraph 13? What he wrote to you, he called you, he met you, he mentioned two companies. Who are those
10 companies? Just expand on this so that it makes sense.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Sergeant Nkosi requested me earlier on to ask Mr Mathobela to say which companies did he assist in terms of compilation that he has done, the compilation of the document. Then I could not find Mr Mathobela by then, and later on I went back to Sergeant Nkosi to say I apologise for the delay after I got hold of Mr Mathobela, and then to say he gave me these names of bidders that he has assisted.

ADV BALOYI SC: So, when Sergeant Nkosi made the
20 enquiry with you, he did not give you names, he just gave you a general question, ask Mathobela which companies did he assist in tenders.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Did he explain why he was asking that question? Or did you ask him why are you asking me that

kind of general question?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I took it that because I referred him to Mr Mathobela to assist Bheki.

ADV BALOYI SC: Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: I am tempted, without probing the Commissioner, to say that given what you testified earlier on, that your initial take on this matter was that the only assistance you were willing to give Sergeant Nkosi was to refer him to Mr Mathobela. Would it not have been, at least
10 at this stage, also been prudent of you to adopt the same stance, given that you also mentioned that you foresaw a potential conflict of interest if you get involved?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I took it that because Mr Mathobela, I know him for a long time, he worked with me for quite some time, he was actually at ease to say I must contact him, speak to Mr Mathobela on his behalf.

ADV MOSIKILI: That is not my question but let us pack it for now. Thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Okay.

20 **ADV MOSIKILI:** Let us then proceed.

ADV BALOYI SC: Just also, a follow-up on the Chairperson's question earlier, which was about why you did not speak to the CFO directly. You see what you say in paragraph 14, that through Sergeant Nkosi, you verified, you wanted to verify the CFO.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: You have earlier in your statement said you have a professional relationship with the CFO.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Can we take it then that you have certain meetings with the CFO, in that professional capacity?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: You have had some discussions with
10 him, and you knew where to find him if you wanted to find him.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Is that correct?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is correct.

ADV BALOYI SC: So, it is not as if you did not know each other, and you did not know where to find him if you wanted him.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I knew him, but the thing that I asked Sergeant Nkosi is because these people named Rob
20 the name of the CFO, and I know that he is close to the CFO. It could be easier for him to verify that information.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, all right. I just wanted to be clear that in your professional, in what you describe as your professional relationship with the CFO, you have had to deal with him on a face-to-face basis.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: Okay, thank you.

ADV KHUMALO SC: I just want to ask something. In organisations such as the municipality Tshwane Municipality, there is an internal system or the network where if you are looking for somebody's name, and you want to know which department they are, their email address and phone number, you can easily do that. Correct?

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Correct.

ADV KHUMALO SC: And if you needed the CFO's phone numbers, you could just go on the system and they would be there.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Correct. I am not sure if they are there. Mine are not there, the cell phone numbers.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Yours are not there but if you wanted, you, you wanted the CFO's phone numbers.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: By then it never came to my mind because I am not Sergeant Nkosi.

20 **ADV KHUMALO SC:** We are not there yet.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV KHUMALO SC: So, for now, I am just following up on Commissioner Baloyi's question and the Chair's question that if you wanted the CFO's phone numbers, all you needed to do was go in the system and you could find them.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I agree with you.

ADV KHUMALO SC: Yes, thank you.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Thanks.

ADV MOSIKILI: Just to round off this paragraph 14, just two follow-up questions. This Muzi and Siphos, were they from EFF themselves? As far as you know.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I cannot tell whether they are EFF. I know them from student movement. The last time I know them, they were ANC members. So, I am not sure
10 whether they moved or what. So that is what puzzled me when they came to namedrop, I mean the EFF thing.

ADV MOSIKILI: And did you ever confront them about this lie? What turned out to be a lie?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Since I never confronted them about it.

ADV MOSIKILI: All right, let us move on to paragraph 15 then.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“That you are allegedly involved...”

20 **ADV BALOYI SC:** Sorry, before you do that, you say there that Sergeant Nkosi to verify with the CFO.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: He did do that. Did Sergeant Nkosi do that?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, he told me that the CFO

says he never met those people. He does not.

ADV BALOYI SC: He does not know them?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: All right, thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Sorry, just to piggyback on that question. Is it about the people not knowing that they are from EFF or is it the companies? What is it that he did not know?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: They claim to be representing the EFF, those people, to say these are bidders that they
10 want to pursue. But I know that within the city I do not engage with politicians and so forth. For reasons best known to me, so much as I was puzzled in that regard.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, we will have to park this for now because I think the chats say something different. But let us park it for now. Let us move on to paragraph 15.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “That you are allegedly involved in the political interference in tenders and awarding of same by enquiring and providing confidential information relating to Sergeant Nkosi.”

I think we have dealt with this one.

ADV MOSIKILI: Perhaps, I think, please do read those two paragraphs into the record.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “I am not involved in any politics nor interfere with any awarding of tenders and there is no confidential information that I have ever shared with Sergeant Nkosi. The process to award tenders in the city is informed by MFMA, as I indicated, Act 53 of 2003 and SCM policy. I have not been directly or indirectly involved in the awarding of tenders.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Let us proceed. Paragraph 17.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “You endorse the close relationship that Sergeant Nkosi had with Mr Gareth Mnisi, implying that the relationship will be beneficial for you both. This is implied by WhatsApp communications sent to Sergeant Nkosi wherein you state, you should gear yourself to do so forever, my brother. Umfowethu is the best person to be with, person to be with, Ujuda Wethu, who will do great things for us compared to Ubaba Wakho. And further, on 4 July 2025, you sent a message to Sergeant

Nkosi stating, morning, Captain. I can see Ukuthi that you have captured Umfowethu and he would not want you to leave him.”

I do not think it is correct or we will be doing justice if we take one word in isolation and make a conclusion. In clarifying the entire statement, I want to indicate the context, the climax or the turning point of the statement which I refer to Uvuthondaba in IsiZulu. It is on the word
10 Ujuda Wethu because I do not think the Commission understood or anyone understood what it meant by Ujuda Wethu.

It was concluded that capture in the sense of state capture that I am referring to which is not true. Ujuda Wethu I mean meaning Indumiso, so it is praise, Ujuda Wethu, that means praise. It signifies gratitude. So, the capture in the sentence is referring to attention. It was in reference to the appearance of the background picture I was looking at.

20 Much as I further mentioned the issue of Ubaba Maseko because he seemed very happy and at peace. I want to clarify also the wrong assertion that I was referring to the late Mr Msibi. It is incorrect as I have always referred to Mr Msibi as Ubaba Thulani. The father I am referring to in the text is known to Sergeant Nkosi but for

purposes of protecting the family and revoking all dunes, I prefer not to say the name.

ADV MOSIKILI: Commissioner Dlamini, I think with this paragraph here, I do not want to say you are pulling the wool over the eyes of the Commission, but it does not make sense what you are saying. I think when you - just go back to the page before, and we are trying to get to the essence of your message.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

10 **ADV MOSIKILI:** And what you call Uvuthondaba.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And you want this Commission to believe that at least, and I am not probing you, it is something to think about. It does not make sense what you are saying to say that you want the Commission to believe that when you mentioned Ujuda Wethu, you really meant Indumiso, a praise. I think we all know the story of Ujuda, at least if you were to just go to the biblical scriptures, what Ujuda is for. And for now, I would say Ujuda eventually became to
20 be someone who is an enabler, someone who sets people free or to the better promised places. So, it has to be, please, considered in that way. It cannot be that it was just a mere Indumiso in this context. Would you please consider this paragraph?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I do not think so,

Commissioner, because Ujuda Wethu means Indumiso. That simply translates to that. Much as I am saying the Commission never understood the context around the entire statement. It just takes the first word capture without the entire statement. Ujuda Wethu, that is Indumiso.

ADV MOSIKILI: And when you say the Commission never understood, you are meaning that Sergeant Nkosi never understood you? Because he is the one who testified on this text.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** I do not think he explained much on the statement. He also indicated that I might be referring to attention. That is what he only understood, to say I have captured the attention of the CFO.

ADV MOSIKILI: Again, for your benefit, at least I do not accept your word capture. Please reconsider it, if you may, when you come back, in the context that you are using it here. To say that you are referring to an appearance of the background picture you were looking at. But if that is your final version, it is fine. But something that you will
20 definitely have to revisit when you come back. Remember I said we are not asking probing questions, but at least I have to give you a fair opportunity to at least be alerted on issues that are of serious concern.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: And this paragraph, for me, is of serious

concern. And lastly, on that paragraph, this name that you are not prepared to say, when you come back, will you be prepared at least to share it amongst the Commissioners?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I can write it down to share amongst the Commission, but not to say it publicly.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes, are you prepared to write it down now?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I can.

ADV MOSIKILI: Chair, with your permission, can we then
10 pass a note to Commissioner Dlamini just to put the name down without sharing it with the public?

CHAIRPERSON: All right, in the meantime, Deputy Chief, I just want to refer to that part of the quotation where the question is asked. That seems to make a comparison between Ujuda and Ubaba Wakho. So, better things or great things are expected or appear to be expected of the Ujuda compared to Ubaba Wakho. What did Ubaba Wakho, whom you do not want to mention, what did he do which does not compare with what is expected of Ujuda?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** As I indicated, Commissioner, I have a long history with Nkosi and there was a father we consider to be, there was someone who played that role, also whom he never seemed to be happy so much.

CHAIRPERSON: So, meaning that person was not a biological father?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, it was not a biological father. It is not a biological father.

CHAIRPERSON: Just a minute. I must say, if this is supposed to be a Nguni surname, perhaps let me ask, is it supposed to be a Nguni surname?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I hope it is not being read.

CHAIRPERSON: Is it? No, no, no. Is it supposed to be a Nguni surname?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is.

10 **CHAIRPERSON:** Then the spelling cannot be correct.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Maybe it might be the spelling.

CHAIRPERSON: The spelling cannot be correct.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It might be the spelling, but it is a Nguni surname. I cannot pronounce it. Off record, I cannot pronounce it.

CHAIRPERSON: Dlamini, how is it you cannot write a Nguni surname?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I am not a Xhosa person.

20 **CHAIRPERSON:** I chose to say Nguni because I was thinking between a IsiZulu and Xhosa. Well, maybe Siswati and others as well.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: It is Xhosa.

CHAIRPERSON: Oh, it is a Xhosa.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON: I cannot even think what it is supposed to be. Maybe you will vocalise it to us at some point.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I think it might be correct.

CHAIRPERSON: All right, all right. Thank you, thank you. I think I have lost my place now. There was something else I wanted to ask around. Maybe let me leave it there. But at some point, rather than just give us the name, at some point you may have to explain to us what this was about. We may discuss the question of how that is done. Perhaps I will
10 leave it at that.

ADV MOSIKILI: Or, if ...[intervenes]

ADV BALOYI SC: Thank you. Deputy Commissioner, just so that I understand your explanation of Ujuda Wethu. You say Indumiso praise, it signifies gratitude.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: So, would I be understanding it correctly if I read that part of the WhatsApp exchange to say, it is an expression of gratitude that Umfowethu will do great things for us.

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: It must be that you are saying gratitude you refer to great things.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: And Umfowethu in this case, is the CFO.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV BALOYI SC: All right, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mosikili.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you, Chair. Deputy Commissioner Dlamini, is there anything you want to add on that paragraph or are you satisfied?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: No.

ADV MOSIKILI: Can we then move to paragraph 18?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes:

10 “It is alleged that your involvement in
 corruption arises from WhatsApp
 communication exchange between
 yourself and Sergeant Nkosi, which
 created the inference that you interfered
 with and exerted influence over the
 processing of invoices within the city of
 Tshwane Metropolitan Police Department.
 In particular, your message stating in
 those transcripts. On the 4th of March
 2024, I received a call from Sergeant
20 Nkosi indicating that he was with the
 CFO and he requested to speak to me. I
 then spoke to the CFO who indicated that
 Mr Mphefu is not getting help from the
 department with non-payment of invoices,
 that I should assist in resolving the

matter. The next day, I talked to Mr Mphefu and he forwarded me the emails he has been sending to the department of which I was not copied. I then liaised with my section to get more information and discovered that, indeed, there were outstanding invoices for a period of six months, which were not processed, starting from July 2024 to January 2024, an Annexure F.”

10

ADV MOSIKILI: We dealt with paragraph F ...[intervenes]

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: So, we do not need to go there. Then let us go to paragraph 18.1.1.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“It is alleged that you were influenced by Sergeant Nkosi due to your personal relationship and proximity in escalating and assisting with invoices allegedly owed by the city to Gubis85 Solution in getting paid. Sergeant Nkosi has never influenced me in relation to payment of invoices, as indicated above. In fact, I was requested by the CFO, and the COP office see Annexure F of the COP office

20

that also came regarding the same matter. I wish to refute the impression which is created that I am involved in business of influencing or facilitating payments. The statement is not true. And I say this because I am the divisional head support and administration accounts payable function falls within my responsibilities. And as I indicated this previously, that I mean Section 65 of the MFMA Act 53 of 2003 and joins us to comply with strict timelines and to pay invoices within 30 days of the invoice date. Furthermore, the invoices in question were signed and authorised for payment by the relevant division, which is Assets Protection and Security Services, which confirmed that services were indeed rendered and payment were due.”

ADV MOSIKILI: And this at that time would have been DC Bolhuis. Is that correct?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I am not sure who could have signed either Director Malatji or DC Bolhuis who could have signed those invoices, but they were authorised for that,

confirmed that services were rendered when they brought them to accounts payable at our finance section.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, let us continue.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Remember our section is not there to interrogate them on those invoices. Once someone, an official attached, he confirms to say these services were received accordingly and they were rendered. So, he confirmed with his signature there. Ours is to verify that there is an SLA that we have loaded on SAPS system,
10 there is a contract in place, and also there is a budget in relation to this on the SAPS system.

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, thank you for that. Let us go to paragraph 19.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “It is alleged you were involved in internal procurement process. This can be seen further via messages exchanged whereby Sergeant Nkosi served as a conduit between you and the CFO. Such messages confirming that you were requested by Sergeant Nkosi to assist with payment of invoices and together with the CFO are managing payments via communication facilitated through Sergeant Nkosi. I have never discussed

any payment with Sergeant Nkosi. I merely responded to the CFO enquiries which were made through Sergeant Nkosi. And much as I indicated to say the CFO the next day enquired through Sergeant Nkosi, I responded through the request of update by the CFO.”

CHAIRPERSON: Let me take you to the very first part of this question. It says it is alleged you were involved in
10 internal procurement processes. You address what relates to payments and that is all you address. What about involvement in procurement processes?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Involvement in procurement processes, as I indicated that we assist the divisions in compilation of specification from the onset to serve the Bid Specification Committee.

CHAIRPERSON: I think again the subtext here relates to improper assistance. Not for example preparing bid specifications, involvement in BEC upon appointment by the
20 relevant functionary and so on and so on. No, I think the subtext is about improper involvement.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Improper involvement.

CHAIRPERSON: Improper.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Improper?

CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Involvement. I do not think I am improperly Commissioner involved because it falls within my section to ensure that invoices get paid on time.

CHAIRPERSON: Did you ever act improperly with regard to procurement processes?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: No.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I see that it is exactly 12 midday and at the beginning Mr Mosikili indicated that you have commitments and that we must sit until 12 today. We
10 have been told that your commitments are physically exacting, that is commitments over the weekend. Here is what we would like to find out. Would you, after those physically exacting commitments over the weekend, would you be in a position to be here on Monday? If you agree it is actually better for you, you will have this behind you sooner rather than later.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I will only be coming back on Monday Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: Travelling back?

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:** Yes.

CHAIRPERSON: From, as I understand, from Cape Town, is it?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, I am running Saturday and Sunday.

CHAIRPERSON: All right.

ADV MOSIKILI: Chair, if ...[incomplete].

CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Mosikili?

ADV MOSIKILI: In fact, I am in your hands Chair. I just looked at what is left of the statement. It might take some time.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Deputy Chief, we are at 22 and the document runs up to 26. Is it possible just to finalise this please?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, Commissioner.

10 **CHAIRPERSON:** Let us do that.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: We can do so.

CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you Chair. Then, can we move to paragraph 20, but obviously starting with the question on page 22.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes:

20 “It is therefore alleged that your conduct gave rise to an implication of irregularity in that you may have participated in securing payment to suppliers through the manipulation or rushed off internal process including the alleged increase of budget and target value to accommodate such payment. I have never conducted myself in any way that would have given

rise to an implication of irregularity. All payments effected in this regard went through all the process before the final payment was releases. The annual budget allocation is divided into 12 months, and it is released on a quarterly basis through finance management. Upon the awarding of a tender the contract is costed and allocated a contract value for the contract period. Any additional services effect the contract value in that such services were not included in the cost of the contract. Therefore, the contract value cap will be prematurely reached. In such circumstances contract value may be increased to accommodate additional services. The increase in the monthly expenditure makes it necessary to request budget release for the future, quarterly budget. The invoices in question were signed and authorised for payment by the relevant division Asset Protection and Security Services which confirm that services were indeed

rendered and payment were due.”

So, much as I alluded previously to say that our budget is divided into quarters, you can request sometimes to increase the contract value to ensure that you process invoices within that quarter with the budget of the next quarter as I have indicated. The earlier example I made with regard to the issue of dogs attending and the values I need to request an increase of the contract value.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you. Let us proceed.

10 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:**

“It is further alleged that at the request of Sergeant Nkosi who assisted his brother in securing a tender including facilitating the qualification of companies that had been previously disqualified. Thereby suggesting undue influence over procurement outcome and further revealed internal confidential information regarding the requirements for the tender. The argument that I have assisted Sergeant Nkosi's brother to secure tender is false and misleading. I referred Sergeant Nkosi to Mr Mathobela to assist him in compilation of his tender document. I was not involved in any

20

procurement stage where I could have possibly influenced the awarding of a tender to Sergeant Nkosi or his brother. I deny that I have divulged internal confidential information to Sergeant Nkosi. I did not know of any company that was disqualified and could not have had any influence over procurement outcome for the same reason I advanced in paragraph 16. Information referred to in the transcript was not for purpose of tendering in the city of Tshwane as testified before this Commission by Sergeant Nkosi.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Just on that last sentence, in your own words, which municipality would this have been then?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: As Sergeant Nkosi indicated that there was a tender in Mpumalanga that they were busy with. And on those notes that were coming from Mr Mathobela, if you go through them, you will realise that there is a requirement for firearm. And I do not think a tender for land invasion required firearm in that regard. So, I can believe that it was not for the land invasion tender because there are no firearm requirements in the tender for land invasion.

ADV MOSIKILI: That was not my question. And I think Sergeant Nkosi did give his explanation whether that was acceptable or not because he was referring to the malls and some things were not adding up. For example, to say you need a five-tonne truck to protect the mall. But what I am asking you is that according to you, if this was not for Tshwane Municipality, which municipality or entity was this tender for?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: I would not tell whether it was
10 for which municipality or provincial government or which municipality.

ADV MOSIKILI: What you are saying about it was not for Tshwane? Is that what you are saying?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: That is the information Mr Mathobela gave me to pass it to Sergeant Nkosi that he required. I never questioned him.

ADV MOSIKILI: Let us then move onto the next paragraph.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

20 “It is further alleged that the communication received from Sergeant Nkosi wherein you were provided with details of job applicants for various positions within the city of Tshwane Metropolitan Police Department, as well as within the city, give rise to an

implication of your involvement in recruitment-related processes. The recruitment process in the city of Tshwane is governed by the recruitment policy. Applicants are invited to apply electronically in the Tshwane recruitment portal. The system is managed by the group Human Capital. I had no access to the said system, and I could have not possibly influenced the process. I was not involved in any recruitment process, and I can confirm that Sergeant Nkosi shared with me the confirmation letters of various applicants. However, I could not assist him because of the reason mentioned in paragraph 30 above, as I was not involved during that recruitment process.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Okay, let us ...[intervenes]

20 **DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI**: But I think I need to further state this Commissioners to say they were indicated as confidential documents. They are not confidential documents. These are confirmation letters that when I apply, I get as a person to say they confirm I have applied in that regard. I should indicate that you will get a lot of

enquiries when such applications come to play.

When the advert starts, you will get people calling or sending the advert to confirm whether it is true, is it a bogus advert or whatever the case it might be. The material conditions in our country have made it such that you will receive a lot of enquiries. You will get it from colleagues, family, whoever with the hope that you might assist them. These are just confirmation letters that get sent through to applicants.

10 **ADV BALOYI SC:** Maybe remembering the evidence incorrectly, Sergeant Nkosi sent you certain information for you to confirm or with whatever assistance is required. Did you in turn share any letters or information of applicants with him?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: When he said I must check with him, much as I indicated to say, applicants become disparate Commissioners. For instance, let us say when the recruitment process starts, they hear that a certain number has been called at the college to come either for
20 physical evaluation. Those who have not yet received SMSs, they will either call, enquire to say we are not called, then I will check to say what is the process, then I will be told they have been called in batches.

I will convey such information to those people to say they are calling either from surname A to E, it goes in

batches and so forth. You will get such information where people request you to say can you confirm either everyone will be called or what, I will confirm everyone will be called, those who can run, qualify, do whatever, then they will go to the next stage and such.

ADV BALOYI SC: The direct answer to my question is you have not shared with Sergeant Nkosi any information of applicants?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: No.

10 **ADV BALOYI SC:** Thank you.

ADV MOSIKILI: Thank you and let us move to paragraph 1.1 on page 25.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“Such information being confidential and ordinarily restricted to officials within the recruitment was shared with you, thereby suggesting that you were being influenced to interfere or assist. The assertion...”

20 **ADV MOSIKILI:** I think it is intervene, intervene not interfere.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Or intervene.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Or assist:

“The assertion in the above question is

not correct and is misleading in that it paints a wrong picture that I was influenced to intervene in HR-related matters. The information which was shared by Sergeant Nkosi is not confidential or restricted to officials within recruitment. These are confirmation letters forwarded to applicants who applied for position in the city. They do not reside with HR. Confirmation letters for receipt of application are not confidential and restricted to recruitment officials. They are automated and are sent to all applicants who applied as confirmation of receipt of application. The application may require or share - the applicants may enquire or share them at their own will.”

20 **ADV MOSIKILI**: I suppose the difficulty with this, and we can discuss later, is that it is not the applicants themselves who are asking or making a follow-up in this case, but it is a third party. Do you want to say something to that?

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Yes, perhaps we can engage on that at a later stage because, as I indicated, there are

parents who will share with me to say my son has applied. Can you check for me? Which is not an applicant. So maybe we can engage on that one.

ADV MOSIKILI: Yes. Let us go to paragraph 31.2.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

10 “It is also alleged that you engaged with and assisted in addressing enquiries relating to these applicants, which created the inference that you exercised or were perceived to exercise influence in respect of the recruitment process when you allegedly confirm, you will check. It should be placed on record that I receive a lot of enquiries either to verify the authenticity of the job advert or other processes during recruitment, e.g., if the emails received were from our HR or bogus email, and I do assist with confirming such. There is no influence
20 that I exercise in recruitment process, nor preferential treatment that I have given to others.”

ADV MOSIKILI: All right, continue to the last paragraph.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI:

“Thus, indicative of you being allegedly

involved in the unauthorised disclosure of confidential recruitment information, as well as in potential irregular involvement in hiring process. I have never been involved in the unauthorised disclosure of confidential recruitment information, as well as in potential irregular involvement in hiring process. The recruitment policy places a duty on duly appointed panel members to declare interest and sign the confidentiality clause during selection process up until their appointment are finalised.”

ADV MOSIKILI: Chair, that will be the end of at least this leg of the testimony, where we have gone through both statements of Deputy Commissioner Dlamini. And perhaps this will be an opportune time to adjourn until a date that has to be arranged.

CHAIRPERSON: So, a subpoena will be issued for the Deputy Chief's next appearance?

ADV MOSIKILI: Indeed so, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Deputy Chief Commissioner of Police, you will next appear on a date that will be indicated in a subpoena that will be issued in due course. Thank you for now.

DEPUTY CHIEF DHLAMINI: Thanks Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON: Let us adjourn until 09:30 on Tuesday.
And that is because the witness that was scheduled for
Monday is suddenly not available. Let us adjourn.

INQUIRY POSTPONED TO 14 APRIL 2026
